1		STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE
2		PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
3	Ostabas 20	
4	21 South Fru: Suite 10	2024 - 1:01 p.m. it Street
5	Concord, NH	
6		
7	RE:	DE 23-091 PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY OF NEW HAMPSHIRE
8		d/b/a EVERSOURCE ENERGY: Petition for Adjustment to Stranded Cost Recovery
9		Charge. DE 24-032
10		PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY OF NEW HAMPSHIRE d/b/a EVERSOURCE ENERGY: Burgess Plant
11		Bankruptcy Settlement Review Pursuant to RSA 365:28 and Allied Statutes.
12		(Technical conference)
13 14	PRESENT:	Chairman Daniel C. Goldner, <i>Presiding</i> Commissioner Pradip K. Chattopadhyay
14		Alexander Speidel, Esq./PUC Legal Advisor
16		Tracey Russo, Clerk
10	APPEARANCES :	Reptg. Public Service Company of New Hampshire d/b/a Eversource Energy:
18		David K. Wiesner, Esq.
10		Reptg. Residential Ratepayers: Michael Crouse, Esq.
20		Office of Consumer Advocate
21		Reptg. New Hampshire Dept. of Energy: Paul B. Dexter, Esq.
22		Molly M. Lynch, Esq. Stephen Eckberg, Electric Group
23		(Regulatory Support Division)
24	Court Rep	orter: Steven E. Patnaude, LCR No. 52

1 INDEX 2 PAGE NO. 3 STATEMENT BY CHAIRMAN GOLDNER 3 Intent of Order No. 27,053 (Re: 4 and Order No. 27,066) 5 PRELIMINARY STATEMENT BY MR. CROUSE 6 6 INITIAL STATEMENTS/QUESTIONS BY: Mr. Wiesner 7, 9, 10, 11 7 Chairman Goldner 8, 9, 10, 11 8 OUESTION BY CMSR. CHATTOPADHYAY TO EVERSOURCE 12 (Re: Rate that will be in place) 9 FOLLOW-ON STATEMENTS BY: 10 Mr. Crouse 14 Mr. Dexter 14 11 QUESTION BY CHAIRMAN GOLDNER TO EVERSOURCE 15 12 (Re: Filing the updated and compliant tariff by close of business on 10-29-24) 1.3 STATEMENTS RE: BATES PAGE 038 BY: 14 Mr. Wiesner 15, 17, 18 Chairman Goldner 16, 21, 23 15 Mr. Speidel 17, 21 18, 20, 22, 23 Mr. Horton 16 Mr. Anderson 22 17 QUESTION BY MR. DEXTER TO EVERSOURCE 19 18 FURTHER STATEMENTS BY: 19 Mr. Dexter 25 Mr. Crouse 26 20 Chairman Goldner 27 21 QUESTION BY CMSR. CHATTOPADHYAY (TO DOE) 28 2.2 CLOSING STATEMENTS BY: Chairman Goldner 29, 30 23 Mr. Wiesner 30 24

{DE 23-091 & DE 24-032} [Technical Conf.] {10-28-24}

2

1 PROCEEDING 2 CHAIRMAN GOLDNER: Okay. Good 3 afternoon. I'm Chairman Dan Goldner, I'm here 4 with Commissioner Pradip Chattopadhyay, presiding 5 over this afternoon's technical conference in 6 Docket Number DE 23-091, the Company's SCRC 7 proceeding, and Docket DE 24-032, the Burgess-related review docket. 8 This technical conference is scheduled 9 pursuant to a procedural order issued on 10 11 October 24th, 2024, in these dockets, in response 12 to the second Eversource compliance tariff filing 13 made on October 23rd. 14 Before we take simple appearances for the record in this technical conference, the 15 16 Commission wishes to outline what it had intended 17 in Order 27,053, issued on August 30th, 18 terminating the Chapter 340 Adder, and Order 19 Number 27,066, issued on October 16th, rejecting 20 the first Eversource compliance tariff filing as 21 non-compliant. 2.2 It was the Commission's intention that, 23 upon terminating the Chapter 340 Adder, that the 24 Chapter 340 SCRC rate component (see the 10/23

{DE 23-091 & DE 24-032} [Technical Conf.] {10-28-24}

3

1 tariff filing, Bates 039) would be eliminated. 2 That is, there would be no "Chapter 340" line in 3 the tariff. That the Part 2 adjustment, based on 4 the \$3 million under-collection, would be collected by the Company on the "Part 2" line, 5 6 General Part 2. Using the same 10/23 Page 39 7 tariff filing, Part 2 for Residential Service, for example, would be minus 0.29. It would be 8 the minus 0.440, plus the 0.155 calculated by the 9 10 Company. So, the net of those would be negative 11 0.29, and it would all show up in Part 2. 12 And just to be clear, the total SCRC 13 rate, as calculated by the Company in the 10/23 14 filing, would be the exact same. So, it's just 15 where the lines are and which lines show up. 16 So, that's it. With this baseline, we 17 welcome Eversource personnel, though, through 18 these Company -- though these Company experts 19 that prepared the most recent October 23rd 20 technical statement will not be sworn, there 21 remains, of course, a duty of candor to the 2.2 Commission. 23 So, we'll now take appearances, 24 beginning with the Company.

1 MR. WIESNER: Good afternoon, Mr. 2 Chairman, Commissioner Chattopadhyay. David 3 Wiesner, representing Public Service Company of 4 New Hampshire, doing business as Eversource 5 Energy. 6 With me are Douglas Horton, Vice 7 President of the Regulatory Department for 8 Eversource; and Bryant Robinson, Manager of Revenue Requirements for New Hampshire; and Scott 9 10 Anderson, Manager of Rates for New Hampshire. 11 Ashley Botelho is out of New England 12 today attending a memorial service and was not 13 available to be here. Mr. Horton is covering for 14 her. 15 CHAIRMAN GOLDNER: Okay. Thank you, 16 Attorney Wiesner. The Office of the Consumer 17 Advocate? 18 MR. CROUSE: Thank you. Good 19 afternoon, Commissioners. My name is Michael 20 Crouse, Staff Attorney to the OCA, representing 21 residential customers in this matter. 2.2 If appropriate, the Office of the 23 Consumer Advocate has an objection it would like 24 to preserve on the record. If now is the

1 appropriate time to make that, we're prepared to 2 do so. 3 CHAIRMAN GOLDNER: Sure. Just taking 4 simple appearances, but let's move to that 5 quickly. 6 MR. CROUSE: Thank you. 7 Simply, the Office of the Consumer 8 Advocate wishes to preserve for the record its 9 objection to this proceeding today. The OCA 10 believes that its authorized nowhere, in either 11 the Administrative Procedure Act, the 12 Commission's enabling statutes, or any of the Commission's procedural rules. And the OCA does 13 not believe it's a reasonable application of 14 Section 4 of RSA 374. 15 Simply, the OCA is concerned about 16 17 prejudice, due process, and any irregularities 18 arising from today's proceeding. 19 CHAIRMAN GOLDNER: Okay. Duly noted, 20 Mr. Crouse. 21 And we'll move on to the Department of 2.2 Energy? 23 MR. CROUSE: Thank you for the 24 indulgence.

1 Paul Dexter and Molly MR. DEXTER: 2 Lynch, representing the Department of Energy. 3 And we're joined by Steve Eckberg, Analyst with 4 the Regulatory Division. 5 CHAIRMAN GOLDNER: Okay. Thank you, 6 Attorney Dexter. 7 Today's discussion might be Okav. 8 quite brief. So, when I described what the Commission was looking for in the opening, does 9 10 the Company have any questions or concerns, or 11 can it make those adjustments? 12 And, if so, then I'll just check with 13 the other parties, and we can make quick work of 14 today's discussion. I think it would still be 15 MR. WIESNER: 16 helpful to have some further discussion, to make 17 sure that we fully understand exactly what it is 18 the Commission is driving at here. I think there 19 was some confusion earlier in the process, and 20 that's what resulted in the filing that we made 21 in September, which the Commission found to be 2.2 non-compliant. And, you know, we did our best 23 last week to put together something that we 24 believe is compliant, and could be approved by

1 the Commission. 2 But just, if we can focus on the 3 specifics of what we filed, and just make sure 4 that there is -- that we either have it right, or 5 that we fully understand what changes need to be 6 made. 7 CHAIRMAN GOLDNER: Okay. No, thank 8 you, Attorney Wiesner. I'm happy to do that. 9 If everyone could just please turn to 10 Page 39 of the filing that was made on -- it was 11 the October -- it was the most recent filing, the 12 date just disappeared from my machine. 13 MR. SPEIDEL: 10/23. CHAIRMAN GOLDNER: 10/23? Yes, 10/23. 14 15 So, if you go to Page 39, I'll give everyone a 16 moment to get there. Bates 039. 17 All right. Is everyone there? Okay. 18 So, if you -- I'm just looking at the table at 19 the top. So, it says "SCRC Rate Component (in 20 cents per kilowatt-hour)", in the far left. 21 Then, it has "Part 1", "Part 2". So, when it 2.2 says "Chapter 340", the Commission was expecting 23 that line to be completely eliminated, because 24 that's no longer -- it's no longer relevant. The

1 Chapter 340 has been eliminated. So, that's 2 Piece Number 1. 3 And, then, Piece Number 2 is just that 4 the "Part 2 (Other)", that "0.155" that shows up 5 there, we were expecting that "Part 2" line to 6 show up in "Part 2". So, up two lines. And, so, 7 all of those lines on -- between "Part 2" and "Part 2 (Other)" would just be netted, and, then, 8 9 again, the total SCRC wouldn't change. So, it 10 was the tariff pages that we were trying to 11 remedy. 12 MR. WIESNER: So, I will say, I believe 13 that the reason that we have "Chapter 340" 14 showing here is just to show that it is zero, in 15 terms of revenue collection. And that, you know, 16 I think we believe, in the interest of 17 transparency, would disclose what has happened 18 with that 340 Adder. And it's that decrease in 19 revenue collection that is intended to eliminate 20 the over-collection that the Commission was 21 concerned about. 2.2 CHAIRMAN GOLDNER: Okay. I understand the Company's point of view on that. 23 24 Would the Company have an objection to

1 just striking that line and footnoting it, 2 something like that? It's just, would that be 3 okay? 4 MR. WIESNER: Yes, that's fine. 5 CHAIRMAN GOLDNER: Okay. 6 MR. WIESNER: And, then, on the "Part 2 7 (Other)", separately -- separate line item, 8 primarily because it's an equal cents per 9 kilowatt-hour allocation, as opposed to the 10 general Part 2, which runs through the percentage 11 allocations that were approved in the settlement 12 agreement several years ago. 13 I think, if I heard you correctly, 14 Mr. Chairman, what you would like to see is the 15 "Part 2" line show the net of, say, less --16 negative 0.440 for residential, netted against 17 the 0.155. 18 CHAIRMAN GOLDNER: Correct. 19 Is that right? Okay. MR. WIESNER: 20 CHAIRMAN GOLDNER: So, my math shows 21 that that would be 0.29, although I might have a 2.2 rounding error, and then that would be what would 23 show up on the "Part 2" line. So, minus 0.440, 24 plus 0.155. And, then, obviously, just do that

1 for each of the other columns, and then that 2 would be that. 3 MR. WIESNER: I think it's fair to say 4 that, following the hearing we had in August, 5 that we did understand that the Commission was 6 looking to preserve the equal cents per 7 kilowatt-hour allocation, even though the 8 straggling Burgess-related costs would be 9 collected through Part 2. Is that --10 CHAIRMAN GOLDNER: That would be a 11 misunderstanding, a misunderstanding. That was 12 not our intention. 13 MR. WIESNER: Okay. Well, what --14 CHAIRMAN GOLDNER: And that shows up, 15 Attorney Wiesner, in your filings, too. Clearly, 16 there's confusion on that point. And that's why 17 we called for the technical conference, to walk 18 through it and make sure that we are all on the 19 same page. That's all. 20 MR. WIESNER: Okay. Well, this is 21 important, then. Because the 0.155, that does 2.2 not reflect the percentage allocations of general 23 Part 2. That's an equal cents per kWh 24 allocation.

1 That is -- I CHAIRMAN GOLDNER: 2 understand that's how the Company calculated it. 3 And that is -- that is, from the Commission's 4 point of view, we want to check with the parties, 5 but, from our point of view, it's fine to just 6 put that 0.155 in the general Part 2, run it all 7 the way across, and then just whatever those 8 numbers add up to would show up in Part 2. MR. WIESNER: So, that is effectively 9 10 preserving the equal cents per kWh allocation. 11 CHAIRMAN GOLDNER: Yes. 12 MR. WIESNER: Thank you. 13 CHAIRMAN GOLDNER: Commissioner 14 Chattopadhyay. 15 CMSR. CHATTOPADHYAY: I think what you 16 were sharing is we are in sort of the same place, 17 and there's a little bit of miscommunication 18 going on. But that 0.155, which is the same rate 19 throughout, will be in place? 20 MR. HORTON: And I know I'm coming late 21 to the -- but just, I believe I'm tracking, which 2.2 might be good for all of us if I can track it, 23 but, so, yes, it's -- we're going to preserve 24 substantively in the rates that are reflected in

1 the October 23rd filing will be the same, but the 2 presentation of them, and that also says that the 3 equal customer class allocation is also preserved 4 for what we had referred to as "Part 2 (Other)", 5 presentationwise, we will eliminate "Chapter 6 340". There will not be a line showing "zero". 7 And, presentationwise, we will reflect that equal 8 allocated 0.155, we will simply embed it under the "Part 2" line, so it will preserve that equal 9 10 allocation and will just be presented. 11 And you're not opposed to us, for 12 transparency, and so we can recollect what we've 13 done, because the supporting schedules may be 14 something different, you're not opposed to us 15 adding a footnote or somewhere to clarify what 16 you just asked us to do? 17 CHAIRMAN GOLDNER: Exactly. 18 MR. HORTON: Okay. 19 CHAIRMAN GOLDNER: I'm sorry, yes. 20 And, so, you can just say that "Chapter 340 has 21 been terminated", or something to that effect. 2.2 MR. HORTON: Yes. 23 CHAIRMAN GOLDNER: Yes. So that it's 24 transparent.

1 Okay. Attorney Crouse, would you have 2 any comments on the discussion here? 3 MR. CROUSE: Yes. I'm just a simple 4 attorney, so I'm going to repeat back what I 5 heard. 6 My understanding is that the equal 7 allocation amongst customer classes is going to 8 be preserved with what's just been discussed. Is that correct? 9 CHAIRMAN GOLDNER: Yes. Just to repeat 10 11 back, the "0.155" showing in "Part 2 (Other)" will be added to the "Part 2" line, and the net 12 of those two numbers will show in "Part 2". 13 14 MR. CROUSE: Thank you. I have no 15 other comments. 16 CHAIRMAN GOLDNER: Thank you, Attorney 17 Crouse. 18 Attorney Dexter. 19 MR. DEXTER: I'd just like a moment to 20 confer with Mr. Eckberg please? 21 CHAIRMAN GOLDNER: Of course. 2.2 [Atty. Dexter and Mr. Eckberg 23 conferring.] 24 MR. DEXTER: So, the Department is fine

{DE 23-091 & DE 24-032} [Technical Conf.] {10-28-24}

14

1 with the method that the Commission proposed. We 2 just wanted to point out that, as we understand 3 it, it will make it impossible to further track 4 the Burgess stranded costs from other stranded 5 costs once they get combined into the same line. 6 And, so, that's a concern we have. But 7 we just note that as a concern. 8 CHAIRMAN GOLDNER: Okay. Thank you, 9 Attorney Dexter. Okay. I think we've heard from 10 11 everyone. We'll just take a quick, let's call it 12 a "ten-minute break", just to get an even number. 13 We'll come back at 1:25, so the Commissioners can 14 confer, and we'll wrap this up. 15 So, thank you. Off the record. 16 (Recess taken at 1:16 p.m., and the 17 technical conference resumed at 18 1:26 p.m.) 19 CHAIRMAN GOLDNER: Okay. Before we 20 adjourn today, would there be any problem with 21 the Company filing the updated and compliant 2.2 tariff before close of business tomorrow, 23 October 29th? 24 MR. WIESNER: I just -- we were looking

1 at Bates 039, and that's the table. If you go to 2 Bates 038, there is language that describes 3 "Part 2 (Other)". And I think we would propose 4 to modify that language, and perhaps cover the 5 concept of the Burgess-related costs now included 6 in Part 2, but subject to the equal cents per 7 kilowatt-hour allocation in a footnote. 8 CHAIRMAN GOLDNER: No. What the 9 Commission is looking for is just that table, on 10 39, just like we talked about, netting those two 11 numbers. And that's really all we need. 12 MR. WIESNER: So, no change to the text 13 as it appears on -- redlined on Page 38? 14 CHAIRMAN GOLDNER: I don't think so. 15 But let me just read it real quick. 16 [Short pause.] 17 CHAIRMAN GOLDNER: You're right, 18 Attorney Wiesner, that would need some 19 modification, because, just to describe what 20 we're talking about in the table, you're right, 21 that would require some modification, because it 2.2 talks about "Part 2 (Other)", and so forth. So, 23 that would require a modification. You're right 24 about that.

1 MR. WIESNER: Yes. And we can take a 2 shot at that, or we can try to do it on the fly 3 here? 4 CHAIRMAN GOLDNER: Let's do it on the 5 fly here. We're all here. And we appreciate 6 everyone bringing their teams. So, this is 7 great. 8 MR. WIESNER: So, we are still talking 9 about an interim rate change for the period 10 "November through January by reducing or 11 increasing", and here it's an increase, to the "Part 2", scratch "(Other)", "costs", with the 12 13 rate change to reflect, and here, instead of 14 "Part 2 (Other)", we would have to say something 15 like "inclusion", again, this is on the fly, 16 "inclusion of Burgess PPA-related costs 17 allocated" -- well, that's in the next sentence, 18 "and the elimination of the Chapter 340 Adder 19 rate as of November 1st." 20 MR. SPEIDEL: It could say, Attorney 21 Wiesner, "to reflect the modification of the 2.2 Part 2 rate and the elimination of the Chapter 23 340 Adder rate." 24 MR. WIESNER: Okay.

1 MR. SPEIDEL: Just simply that. 2 MR. WIESNER: And, then, do you think 3 the next sentence, in some form, or maybe this is 4 a footnote to the table, which is where it refers to that portion of the "Part 2 costs allocated on 5 6 an equal cents per kWh basis"? 7 MR. HORTON: We could include that, in 8 that footnote, a reference to the supporting 9 schedule where we calculated the 0.155, okay, I 10 see heads nodding, and that would allow us to 11 preserve where that came from, the 0.155 rate. 12 So, should we put our heads together 13 and just make sure that we state what's -- I 14 think that's the extent of it, essentially. 15 MR. WIESNER: Yes. I think, I mean, 16 what we just described I think is what the 17 Commission intends. And it would both, you know, 18 effectuate the different presentation that the 19 Commission is looking for, while preserving the 20 underlying calculations. So that, if anyone 21 bothers to look at those, they will see how we 2.2 got there. 23 And going forward, you know, as I said, 24 this is intended to zero everything out by the

1	end of January. But there are variables,
2	obviously, such as retail sales. If the sales
3	are lower than estimated, there could be some,
4	you know, hopefully minimal amount that we carry
5	forward in the next SCRC rate, which we'll be
6	filing soon enough.
7	CHAIRMAN GOLDNER: Exactly. And we do
8	understand there might be some
9	[Court reporter interruption.]
10	CHAIRMAN GOLDNER: That there could be
11	a small or minor change.
12	[Chairman Goldner and Atty. Speidel
13	conferring.]
14	MR. DEXTER: Mr. Chairman, if I may,
15	the Department had a question?
16	CHAIRMAN GOLDNER: Of course.
17	MR. DEXTER: I think we're following
18	the on-the-fly drafting. But I heard Mr. Horton
19	reference "language that's going to go in the
20	tariff that's going to reference some sort of
21	supporting schedule." And I'd just like to hear
22	from the Company how that's going to be presented
23	in connection with the tariff?
24	CHAIRMAN GOLDNER: Thank you.

1 [Company representatives conferring.] 2 MR. WIESNER: If you'll indulge us just 3 a moment longer, we want to make sure we get the 4 language right so there's only one more filing 5 here. 6 CHAIRMAN GOLDNER: Thank you. 7 [Company representatives conferring.] 8 MR. HORTON: Okay. So, what we would 9 plan to do, if you're looking at Bates Page 038, which is redlined, which would make it a little 10 11 confusing, but I think, hopefully, it's 12 straightforward by the end. So, if you're 13 looking at Bates Page 038, it starts at the top 14 of that page, and it's redlined, "In accordance 15 with Order Numbers 27,053 (August 30, 2024) and 16 27,066 (October 16th, 2024), any difference 17 between the amount of Chapter 340 costs to be 18 recovered during the nine month period 19 February 2024 to October 2024 and the actual 20 revenue received during that period shall be 21 refunded or recovered by PSNH with a return 2.2 during the subsequent three month period 23 November 2024 to January 2025 by reducing or 24 increasing Part 2", we will then strike the word

"(Other)", so it will simply say "Part 2 costs, 1 2 with an interim rate change to the SCRC rate effective November 1, 2024 to reflect the 3 4 Part 2", and we will again strike the word 5 "(Other)". So, it will read "by reducing or 6 increasing Part 2 costs with an interim rate 7 change" -- oh, I'm so sorry. I backtracked. I'm 8 sorry. So, it would say "the SCRC rate effective November 1, 2024 to reflect the Part 2 rate and 9 the elimination of the Chapter 340 Adder rate." 10 11 So, to this point, we just deleted the 12 word "(Other)" in two places from what we had 13 filed on that Bates page. 14 MR. SPEIDEL: And, Mr. Horton, yes. We 15 had thought that we add the word "to reflect the 16 modified Part 2 rate." 17 MR. HORTON: Okay. So, got it. 18 CHAIRMAN GOLDNER: I'm just pausing you 19 further there. The first line, which is not 20 redlined, "Chapter 340 stranded costs will be 21 allocated on an equal cents per kilowatt-hour 2.2 basis for all customer classes", I think that 23 would need to be struck, as there is no Chapter 24 340 stranded costs.

1 MR. ANDERSON: That's actually not the 2 start of that sentence. But, I agree, we can 3 strike that full sentence. CHAIRMAN GOLDNER: Great. Thank you. 4 5 Yes. It just shows up on the first line of 6 Page 38. 7 MR. HORTON: Okay. So, starting on 38, we're going to strike the first sentence, which 8 9 is not redlined, starting with "Chapter 340", and ending with "customer classes". We have some 10 11 more changes, but thus far we're going to do 12 that, and then delete the word "(Other)" from two places. And we will add the word "modified", so 13 that it reads "the SCRC rate effective 14 15 November 1, 2024 to reflect the modified Part 2 16 rate and the elimination of the Chapter 340 Adder 17 rate." 18 Okay. And, then, we would add a 19 footnote at that point, which will essentially 20 replace what is currently a redlined sentence 21 thereafter, starting with "The revenue 2.2 requirement to recover Part 2 (Other)", we're 23 going to delete that sentence, starting with "The 24 revenue requirement to recover Part 2 (Other)",

1 going through "all customer classes". The 2 footnote we will add will read: "The revenue 3 requirement to recover Chapter 340 costs incurred 4 during the nine month period February 2024 5 through October 2024 will be allocated on an 6 equal cents per kilowatt-hour basis for all 7 customer classes, as presented in Attachment ANB/SRA-2, Page 1, and will be reflected in the 8 modified Part 2 rate line item." 9 10 CHAIRMAN GOLDNER: And, then, I would 11 just say something about, just to be clear, that 12 the "Chapter 340 Adder has been terminated effective October 31st, 2024", so that everyone 13 14 is clear that this was something we're just 15 tidying up and that it's terminated at the end of 16 this month. 17 [Chairman Goldner and Atty. Speidel 18 conferring.] 19 CHAIRMAN GOLDNER: And, then, Attorney 20 Speidel just reminded me that, when the Company 21 makes a new SCRC filing for effect February 1st, 2.2 2025, then all this will go away. So, this is 23 just a temporary fix, and then off we go. 24 MR. HORTON: Right. Okay.

1 So, the footnote, I'll just reread 2 that, and then there was one last change that we 3 have to the body of the paragraph, I think 4 consistent with what you were just saying, Chair 5 Goldner. 6 So, the footnote would read: "The 7 revenue requirement to recover Chapter 30 [sic] 8 costs incurred during the nine month period" --9 [Court reporter interruption.] MR. HORTON: I'll start it over. 10 11 MR. PATNAUDE: Okay. Thank you. 12 MR. HORTON: "The revenue requirement 13 to recover Chapter 340 costs incurred during the 14 nine month period February 2024 to October 2024 15 will be allocated on an equal cents per 16 kilowatt-hour basis for all customer classes, as 17 presented in Attachment ANB/SRA-2, Page 1, and 18 will be reflected in the modified Part 2 rate 19 line item. Chapter 340 cost recovery will have 20 been eliminated effective October 31, 2024." 21 CHAIRMAN GOLDNER: That's correct. 2.2 MR. HORTON: Okay. And, then, our last 23 change, so then back up to the body, on Bates Page 038, which will -- that footnote will be 24

1 placed after we will have stated "with an interim 2 rate change to be eliminated" -- oh, gosh, I'll 3 say it again. So, it will say "the elimination 4 of the Chapter 340 Adder rate" it will have that 5 footnote, and it will continue in the body "The 6 return will be calculated using the Stipulated 7 Rate of Return as set forth if the Settlement 8 Agreement." And, then, we would strike the last sentence, which currently reads" Part 2 (Other) 9 10 costs will continue for as long as there are such 11 costs to be recovered from or refunded to 12 customers by the Company." We will strike that 13 sentence. CHAIRMAN GOLDNER: I believe that is 14 15 The Company is good to go. correct. 16 I'll just check with the Parties, to 17 see if there's any concerns with that draft, 18 redraft? 19 So, the Department had MR. DEXTER: 20 some trouble following that. And we identified 21 what we think might be two issues. We'd really prefer to see it in writing, and have an 2.2 23 opportunity to send something into the 24 Commission.

1 But the two things that jumped out at 2 us were the sentence that talked about, that Mr. 3 Horton read, that talked about "The revenue 4 requirement for the Chapter 340 costs from 5 February to October will be recovered...", and it 6 just doesn't sound to us like it's the revenue 7 requirement from that period. I think it's the 8 residual, or the leftover, or something like 9 that. 10 And, then, we also heard the words 11 "incurred" somewhere in connection with the 12 revenue requirement. And, again, we don't think 13 any of these costs are being incurred right now. 14 So, apologies. I found it very hard to 15 follow what Mr. Horton was saying. We would 16 prefer to see it in writing. And, if we have any 17 objection to it or questions on it, we could 18 contact the Company or file something in the 19 docket. 20 CHAIRMAN GOLDNER: Okay. Attorney 21 Crouse, any comments? 2.2 MR. CROUSE: I think the request by the 23 Department is reasonable. I have heard what Doug 24 Horton has said, and some of it was difficult to

transcribe in real time. And I would just like 1 2 to get the Consumer Advocate's input. 3 CHAIRMAN GOLDNER: Just a moment. 4 [Chairman Goldner and Atty. Speidel 5 conferring.] 6 CHAIRMAN GOLDNER: Yes. In conferring 7 with Attorney Speidel, we, in this particular 8 instance, we only have a few days to resolve 9 this. So, what I might suggest is that, if the 10 folks wanted to get together after the 11 Commissioners leave this technical session, 12 certainly welcome to do that. But we need the 13 Company to file their compliant tariff by close 14 of business tomorrow. So, again, if folks want to stick around in the room afterwards and work 15 16 on the description, that would be fine. 17 What Mr. Horton read made sense to the 18 Commission. But, if there's some adjustments 19 that would make sense, certainly, as long as it's 20 compliant to what we're trying to accomplish 21 here. 2.2 I know we appreciate everyone coming in 23 today to kind of sort through this. I thought it 24 was very constructive, and a better way to

1 resolve things than maybe going back-and-forth on 2 the papers. 3 So, that's what I would -- that's what 4 I would suggest. Any other comments before we 5 adjourn? 6 Just a moment. Oh, Commissioner 7 Chattopadhyay. CMSR. CHATTOPADHYAY: What I heard from 8 9 the DOE, I think there was -- the two points 10 there. One is, they're sort of struggling with 11 the language, not having seen it. So, there's a 12 residual element that you're working on. And 13 there was the other one, can you again flag that? 14 MR. DEXTER: Yes. Well, again, the 15 second issue I mentioned, there was a sentence that Mr. Horton read that had the word "costs 16 17 incurred". And, again, I wasn't able to write it 18 down. But I don't think there's any costs being 19 incurred right now. These costs have already 20 been incurred. 21 So that that word stuck out, and I just 2.2 want to see it in writing, before we would agree 23 that it's appropriate in the tariff. 24 CMSR. CHATTOPADHYAY: So, thank you. Ι

1 think those two issues probably, you know, let me 2 know whether I'm wrong, but, really, you can sit 3 down and make sure that those two issues are 4 addressed. And, then, the language should be 5 fine. 6 MR. DEXTER: Yes. I don't think it is 7 -- yes, I don't think we'd have any problem. 8 Just having a chance to see it typed up in 9 writing, that we could come to agreement on 10 appropriate language, consistent with what we 11 heard today. 12 CHAIRMAN GOLDNER: I think Mr. Horton 13 might have it typed up right now. So, I think 14 that could be a -- that could be a good way to 15 close this out. 16 So, yes. So, I think, from a 17 Commission's point of view, again, this was very 18 constructive. Appreciate everyone jumping on 19 this today, because we do have a hard deadline at 20 the end of this month. 21 So, we'll look forward to the Company 2.2 filing the updated compliant tariff by close of 23 business tomorrow, and encourage the parties to 24 work together on that in writing, you know,

1 today, if that's desirable for the parties. 2 And I'll thank everyone for their 3 participation today. I'll just check in to see 4 if there's anything else before we adjourn? 5 MR. WIESNER: Nothing else, Mr. 6 Chairman. And I'll second the thought that this 7 was a helpful exercise, to make sure that we were able to clarify the Commission's intent, and 8 reflect it in writing before November 1st. 9 10 CHAIRMAN GOLDNER: Thank you. And I 11 appreciate the Company's professionalism, 12 bringing the three experts here today, in 13 particular Mr. Horton, whose person couldn't be 14 here today, that was a very professional way of 15 handling it. So, thank you for that. 16 And we are adjourned. 17 (Whereupon the technical conference 18 was adjourned at 1:55 p.m.) 19 20 21 2.2 23 24